

When the mass transfer number  $B$  equals 20 for the data in Ref. 1, this expression equals approximately 0.11. In addition, the kink observed in the velocity profiles displayed as Fig. 2 of Ref. 1 for  $B = 20$  suggests the presence of separation.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Wooldridge, C. E. and Muzzy, R. J., "Boundary-Layer Turbulence Measurements with Mass Addition and Combustion," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 4, No. 11, Nov. 1966, pp. 2008-2016.
- <sup>2</sup> Meroney, R. N., "Velocity and Shear Stress Distributions in a Transpired Boundary Layer," *Proceedings of the 10th Midwestern Mechanics Conference*, Colorado State Univ., 1967.
- <sup>3</sup> Tennekes, H., "Velocity-Defect Laws for Transpired Turbulent Boundary Layers," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 3, No. 10, Oct. 1965, pp. 1950-1951.
- <sup>4</sup> Hacker, D. C., "Empirical Prediction of Turbulent Boundary Layer Instability along a Flat Plate with Constant Mass Addition," *Jet Propulsion*, Vol. 26, No. 9, 1956, pp. 768-787.
- <sup>5</sup> Mickley, H. S. and Davis, R. S., "Momentum Transfer for Flow over a Flat Plate with Blowing," TN-4017, 1957, NACA.
- <sup>6</sup> Fraser, M. D., "The Equilibrium Transpired Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate," PhD thesis, 1964, Chemical Engineering Dept., Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.

## Comments on "Ion-Neutral Propulsion in Atmospheric Media"

ALGIRDAS MACIULAITIS\*

Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation.  
Bethpage, N. Y.

IN a recent paper, Christenson and Moller<sup>1</sup> have derived some performance parameters for an electrostatic "fan" in which the negative ions are produced by multiple point corona discharges. Their experimental findings qualitatively agreed with theory and showed a peak flow power efficiency

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \rho u^3 / jV_0$$

to be 0.9%. Approximately 90% of the input electrical power  $jV_0$  was found to be transferred into heat. Such low efficiency levels obviously do not make an attractive propulsion device. This negative finding is in agreement with that reached by the writer in an earlier analytical investigation<sup>2</sup> of electrostatic propulsion. In Ref. 2, the equations governing the one-dimensional electrostatic propulsion channel were solved numerically, permitting a reduction in simplifying assumptions.

The writing of the present Comments has been prompted primarily by Christenson and Moller's discussion of possible increased efficiency through reductions in ion mobility. Their indicated effort here is to effect this reduction by the utilization of pulsed high voltages, estimating that a reduction of the ion mobility in air by two orders of magnitude would result in a power efficiency of approximately 30%. However, it appears doubtful that such reductions of mobility will be accomplished by a pulsating applied field alone. Even if this indeed could be accomplished, serious inherent shortcomings of the device would still be retained, as can be demonstrated by the following considerations. Using the nomenclature of Ref. 1, the momentum added to the flow, i.e., the thrust produced per unit cross-sectional area is  $\rho u^2 = (\epsilon/2)E_L^2$ .

Received November 30, 1967; revision received January 5, 1968.

\* Research Engineer, Research Department. Member AIAA.

Now the collector field  $E_L$  cannot exceed the breakdown field  $E_b$  at the prevailing atmospheric conditions. In fact, engineering experience has shown that  $E_L$  would have to be appreciably below  $E_b$  if serious operating difficulties are to be avoided. Setting  $E_L = E_b$  therefore represents an optimistic upper limit. Using  $E_b = 3 \times 10^6$  v/m the maximum thrust produced is computed to be 0.832 psf.†. This upper limit on thrust is independent of the ion mobilities. It is apparent that very large areas of corona arrays would be required to produce thrust levels of any practical interest. Since the storage of large quantities of electrical energy is impractical aboard vehicles flying in the atmosphere, additional weight penalties would be incurred due to the power conversion and high-voltage conditioning equipment. Large corona array areas would also be detrimental to the over-all drag. It is in the light of these practical considerations that the possibility of a 30% power efficiency (neglecting internal drag losses) becomes more remote.

The writer is aware of the fact that the breakdown electric field can be exceeded in high frequency corona discharges. However, the work of Early and Martin<sup>3</sup> on pulsating field coronas (5-12 kc/sec) has shown that most of the added electrical energy in this operating mode goes into heating, ionization, and other energy states but not into directed momentum increase, which would augment thrust. Also not to be ignored is the fact that field pulsations will be detrimental to thrust by lowering the average value of  $E_L$ , all other conditions being held constant.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Christenson, E. A. and Moller, P. S., "Ion-Neutral Propulsion in Atmospheric Media," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 10, Oct. 1967, pp. 1768-1773.
- <sup>2</sup> Maciulaitis, A., "A Theoretical Investigation of Electro-Fluid Dynamic Propulsion in the Earth's Atmosphere," RE-204, March 1965, Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp., Bethpage, N.Y.
- <sup>3</sup> Early, H. C. and Martin, F. J., "Heating and Ionization of a Gas Stream by Repetitive, Suppressed-Breakdown Discharges," ARL 64-43, March 1964, Office of Aerospace Research, Aeronautical Research Lab., U.S. Air Force, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

† This is the sea-level thrust; with altitude, thrust would diminish because of the decrease in  $E_b$ .

## Reply by Author to A. Maciulaitis

E. A. CHRISTENSON\*

University of California, Davis, Calif.

WE agree with Maciulaitis that the low efficiency level does not indicate immediate construction of a useful aerodynamic propulsion unit of the type investigated. This, however, was not the intent of our study.<sup>1</sup> The purpose of the investigation was to obtain explicit theoretical performance relationships that could be validated by experimental results. One advantage in obtaining such closed-form expressions is that the gross physical behavior of the mechanism in question and the dependence of performance on certain physical parameters can more readily be determined. For example, we feel that the dependence of velocity, thrust, and power efficiency on the parameter  $\phi$  is immediately made clear by the explicit relations in spite of the necessary assumptions.

Received February 5, 1968.

\* Graduate Student, Mechanical Engineering.